To: Owens, Kim[Owens.Kim@epa.gov]; Curtin, James[curtin.james@epa.gov]; Furtak,

Sarah[Furtak.Sarah@epa.gov]; Haire, Michael[Haire.Michael@epa.gov]; Whitlock, Steve[Whitlock.Steve@epa.gov]; Reichert, Julie[reichert.julie@epa.gov]; Keating, lim@epa.gov]

Jim[Keating.Jim@epa.gov]

Cc: Chemerys, Ruth[Chemerys.Ruth@epa.gov]; Schroer, Lee[schroer.lee@epa.gov]

From: Rueda, Helen

Sent: Thur 7/25/2013 3:01:49 PM

Subject: RE: 24-hour review: PdO briefing paper jul 23 2013 PO issue paper briefing jc + KO+HR.docx

Here are my attempts to clarify.

From: Owens, Kim

Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 2:30 PM

To: Curtin, James; Furtak, Sarah; Haire, Michael; Whitlock, Steve; Reichert, Julie;

Keating, Jim

Cc: Chemerys, Ruth; Rueda, Helen; Schroer, Lee **Subject:** RE: 24-hour review: PdO briefing paper

Attached are a few comments addressing some of Jim's questions or edits. A few of the questions are better answered by the technical folks.

From: Curtin, James

Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 2:03 PM

To: Furtak, Sarah; Haire, Michael; Whitlock, Steve; Reichert, Julie; Keating, Jim

Cc: Chemerys, Ruth; Owens, Kim; Rueda, Helen; Schroer, Lee

Subject: RE: 24-hour review: PdO briefing paper

Sarah et al,

Here are my suggestions for revising the draft Pend Oreille TMDL briefing paper to be used in discussing this issue with Denise K next week. I used Sarah's clean draft that incorporated R10's earlier comments. I'm fine with trimming down the border discussion about authority over out-of-state allocations if folks agree that's not an issue we need to clarify and resolve. Also would be fine if you eliminate redundancy

in various discussions of key CFA issues/concerns. Seems like we say the same things a few different times.

Jim

Jim Curtin

USEPA Office of General Counsel

Water Law Office

202-564-5482

Ariel Rios North Rm. 7451

From: Furtak, Sarah

Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 6:54 AM

To: Haire, Michael; Curtin, James; Whitlock, Steve; Reichert, Julie; Keating, Jim

Cc: Chemerys, Ruth

Subject: 24-hour review: PdO briefing paper

Importance: High

Draft-Deliberative Attorney-Client Privilege*

Informal HQ Technical Review Team,

Attached, for your 24-hour review, is a "clean" briefing paper -- "jul_23_2013" file -- incorporating most of Region 10's edits. Region 10 provided extensive comments on the briefing paper I sent around July 12 (this is a briefing paper for the pre-brief with Tom Wall 7/30 and briefing with Denise Keehner 7/31).

Action:

1. **Mike**, please work with Jim Curtin to address the Region 10 comments on the

border issue in the clean file ("jul_23_2013" file). I ask that you provide me final language for the border issue section by noon Thursday, July 25.

2. Team—let me know if you see showstoppers in the clean file ("jul_23_2013" file) by noon Thursday, July 25. I'll plan on sending it up the management chain as briefing material shortly thereafter.

Thanks for your cooperation as I'm in training this week. I will return to the office on July 30.

Note—the attachments cited in the clean file (red font) can be found in my July 12 email.

Sarah

From: Rueda, Helen

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 7:15 PM

To: Furtak, Sarah

Cc: Owens, Kim; Cope, Ben; Croxton, Dave

Subject:

Hi Sarah

Here are our comments and suggestions for the briefing paper. As I mentioned before, they are extensive. We've restructured for clarity, included background information we thought relevant, revised to address our impressions from the last call, and tried to retain statements of HQ's own position in your own words. Best read first in "Final" without the redlines.

Helen Rueda

Environmental Engineer

Oregon Operations Office

Region 10 EPA

805 SW Broadway, Suite 500

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 326-3280